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Roundtable discussion I:  
*Fast tracking the approval of new drugs*

- SRAs emphasize that early consultations with research networks would be important. How do we ensure industry receives feedback from groups like PADO or PAWG that is consistent and swift?

- How can regulators and companies work together to develop, review, and approve PIP/PSP in a more flexible and rapid way (e.g., how can PADO recommended TPP characteristics be useful)?

- Can ongoing review of regulatory frameworks include innovative elements that support faster product development for paediatrics? And promote a focused development of what is needed?

- How to better coordinate and share information with ERBs to enroll adolescents in studies?

- How to ensure in-country registration and harmonization of country regulators with global paediatric regulators?
Roundtable discussion II:  
*Fast tracking the development of priority formulations*

• Avoid duplication by strengthening existing efforts like PHTI, and encouraging research networks to collaborate on study design and implementation

• Are PADO recommendations taken seriously? Do they need any more formal endorsement?

• Can SRAs strategically use deferrals of paediatric plans or waivers to advance PADO priorities? Could they waive application registration fees for those aligned with PADO priorities?

• Early exchange with regulators on how to properly design bioequivalence protocols and speed up studies

• Sharing of study information and technology transfers as a way to accelerate formulation development
Roundtable discussion III:
**Funding a global accelerator for paediatric formulations**

- How sustainable do we need this funding to be? Especially considering we may favour using this mechanism to fund formulations for other paediatric diseases?

- What type of monetary (or mix of monetary and non-monetary) incentives may be most attractive, effective and sustainable? What are the pros and cons of grants vs prizes (milestones vs endpoints), etc.?

- What would a fund need to cover (what percentage of development funding and/or de-risking, what regulatory costs)?

- What are the most promising sources of funding? Could a mix work?

  *Philanthropies; Matching or challenge funds; Generics – royalties for beneficiaries of fund who bring a product to market; Originators – voluntary deals where any royalties from other products used primarily for paediatrics or in LMICs go to the fund, etc.*

- How would the costs and benefits be shared among multiple companies?

- Where could such a fund be housed?